

Racism in the Classroom

Wilma Vialle
University of Wollongong

A paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the AARE, Newcastle, 29
November 1994

Introduction

This paper reports on preliminary findings from a research study currently being conducted in the South Coast region of New South Wales and funded by an ARC Small Grant at the University of Wollongong. Although originally conceived as a means of exploring the ways in which children's intelligence is manifested in classrooms and concomitantly to develop in teachers a broader conceptualisation of intelligence, the study is highlighting differences between teachers' rhetoric and their classroom behaviours. The preliminary data reveal a number of ways in which covert racism is operating in classrooms to position children from non-English-speaking backgrounds (hereafter termed NESB) as non-achievers. A selection of examples from these data will be discussed.

Aims of the Study

This study aims to address the differences in school achievement of children from differing socioeconomic groups. From Terman's studies early this century to the current time, children from low socioeconomic groups and certain ethnic minority groups have been over-represented in school failure statistics and special education classes. Studies have variously attributed such imbalance to the cultural bias of standardised testing (Davis, 1948) or the perceptions and expectations of middle-class teachers (Heath, 1983). The current study, therefore, focuses on developing more ecologically-valid assessment techniques that are sensitive to children from non-mainstream groups. A crucial element of the study is the collaboration between the researcher and the teachers in order to facilitate the translation of theory into practice. It was anticipated that through the collaborative development and implementation of the assessment techniques, teachers would broaden their perceptions of intellectual performance and develop the requisite skills to nurture students' abilities. The aim of the study, therefore, is threefold:

- * To develop assessment activities and protocols that can be readily implemented by teachers in their classrooms;
- * To enhance teachers' skills in identifying and nurturing the cognitive abilities of each student, thereby altering their perceptions of the nature of intelligence; and,
- * To work towards the development of model classrooms based on Multiple Intelligences Theory (Gardner, 1983) that will promote the diverse cognitive abilities of the students.

Significance of the Project

Despite a century of heated debate, psychologists are no closer to an agreed conception of the nature of intelligence (Sternberg & Detterman, 1986). Nevertheless, throughout this century, standardised intelligence tests have been influential in shaping the perceptions of educators regarding the nature of intelligence. Schools have readily embraced the "one-shot instantiation" (Bruner, 1986, p. 51) of so-called objective measures such as IQ tests in determining the abilities of the students. Such tests, however, consistently fail to identify children from non-mainstream groups (including low socioeconomic and ethnic minority groups) as possessing high cognitive abilities (Gould, 1981; Staples, 1986). Research has clearly

demonstrated that stereotypical attitudes toward race, for example, have adversely affected the educational attainments of children with minority status (McAdoo and McAdoo, 1985). The failure of the school system to adequately identify and nurture non-mainstream students' intellectual strengths can be linked to the following issues:

1. Traditional measures of intelligence are culturally biased (Davis, 1948; Gould, 1981);
2. A belief among teachers, administrators and community members that high intelligence cannot exist in economically disadvantaged environments (Clark, 1992; Frasier, 1987);
3. Peer and community pressure leading to accepted patterns of underachievement (Supplee, 1990);
4. A mismatch between the language and value systems of school and home that bias the teachers' perceptions of their students (Heath, 1983); and,
5. A focus on learning deficits in students from economically disadvantaged groups (Sisk, 1988).

The narrow conception of intelligence that is apparent in the issues enumerated above has been challenged by more recent theoretical perspectives on intelligence (Gardner, 1983; Sternberg, 1985; Ceci, 1990). For example, Gardner's (1983) theory of Multiple Intelligences (hereafter termed MI theory) contends that people possess at least seven discrete intelligences which include linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, bodily-kinesthetic, interpersonal and intrapersonal intelligence. The theory has been successfully implemented in a large number of projects throughout the United States, but primarily in Project Spectrum. From 1986 to 1988, the Spectrum researchers developed activities in the seven intelligence domains for the preschoolers in the day-care facility located at Tufts University. Observations of the children were recorded with each child's relative strengths and weaknesses reported at the end of each year (Krechevsky & Gardner, 1990). The researchers concluded that children as young as four years of age had distinctive intellectual profiles with differing patterns of strengths and weaknesses (Krechevsky and Gardner, 1990). Additionally, the majority of the

children possessed a strength in at least one intelligence domain (Krechevsky & Gardner, 1990; Gardner & Hatch, 1989). These conclusions, though, were based on a limited sample of children drawn from a white, middle- to high-income population.

The current author believed that Gardner's framework would be particularly useful for identifying the intellectual strengths of children in non-mainstream groups whose proclivities often failed to match the pattern valued by the school. This view was supported in the literature on the school achievement of African-American students (see Hale-Benson, 1986). In collaboration with Dr Hilda Rosselli and funded by the Children's Board of Hillsborough County, the author conducted an eight-month research project called the Prism Study. Utilising Gardner's theory and the research and assessment protocols of Project Spectrum, the study was conducted in 1991 at five day-care facilities in Florida whose clientele were living in poverty.

Using ethnographic methods of participant observation and interviews, Vialle (1991) developed multiple case studies of a number of African-American children. The data thus collected, clearly demonstrated that young children displayed differing profiles of intellectual strengths and weaknesses across domains. Gardner's theory provided the framework that enabled Vialle and her co-researchers to identify children whose intellectual strengths lay in different domains from the traditional linguistic and logical-mathematical intelligences (Vialle, 1991; 1993).

Gardner's theory and Vialle's study, therefore, provide a useful framework for responding to the differing intellectual proclivities of children from non-mainstream groups and for developing the positive academic self concepts in all children that will enhance their future intellectual development in general. Teachers have a key role in recognising and responding positively to the differing intellectual profiles of all their students, but with regard to the achievement of economically disadvantaged and ethnic minorities, the quality of teacher-student interaction is particularly significant (Abatso, 1985; Nobles, 1981; Spencer, Brookins and Allen, 1985). The Prism Study demonstrated that children's achievements improved across the traditional linguistic and logical-mathematical domains as the day-care providers focused on their individual strengths in other domains (Vialle, 1991). Therefore, the establishment of a classroom in which children can develop and demonstrate their potential in a range of intellectual tasks seems to be a promising approach for the education of children from economically disadvantaged and minority groups. The current study, therefore, seeks to determine whether such an approach would enhance the educational attainments of economically disadvantaged and minority children in this country.

Design of the Study

The research design, which is ethnographic in nature, is compatible with the approach to the study of minority children advocated by a number of researchers (Holliday, 1985; Hale-Benson, 1986). Holliday (1985), for example, argues that such research should be "competence-oriented" (p. 55). She highlights the following characteristics as central to the undertaking:

- (1) a focus on psychological and behavioral strengths;
- (2) adoption of an ecological perspective as reflected by the absence of laboratory tasks, use of multiple indicators of environment, investigation of multiple attributes and behaviors across two or more settings, and emphasis on interactive (rather than cause-effect) relationships; and
- (3) abandonment of a racial comparative design (Holliday, 1985, p. 55).

This study seeks to establish children's strengths in differing intelligence domains by closely observing their behaviour in the classroom as they interact with others and undertake tasks in the seven intelligences. An "ecological perspective" has been adopted as the researcher is working in intact classroom settings with the children's regular teachers. An attempt to obtain multiple perspectives of the children is gained by triangulating data obtained from classroom observations, and interviews with children, families and teachers. Where feasible, children may be observed in multiple settings although the majority of observations will occur in their normal classrooms. Finally, no comparisons across groups of children are being made; the focus of the research is on the development of classroom-based assessment tasks that will assist teachers in the identification of children's intellectual potential.

Examples of assessment activities include structured creative movement activities with accompanying checklists to rate skill development; guidelines for analysing art portfolios; assembly tasks of common household objects (eg garden sprayer unit); and puzzles and games requiring manipulation of mathematical symbols (see table below).

Intelligence	Assessment Tasks
Linguistic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * story-building activity, taped * giving directions, taped
Logical-mathematical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * classification task * game requiring manipulation of multiple symbols
Spatial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * art portfolio * assembly task - common objects

- Musical
 - * rhythm, pitch tests
 - * experimentation with instruments

- Bodily-kinesthetic
 - * creative movement tasks
 - * athletic motor co-ordination task
 - * fine craft task

- Interpersonal
 - * classroom simulation task
 - * classroom interactions, taped

- Intrapersonal
 - * self-reflection tasks

The study was designed to be conducted over a two-year period in the kindergarten and Grade 1 classrooms at three different school sites: School A: a school with a high proportion of children from Non-English Speaking Backgrounds; it is situated in a low socioeconomic community. School B: a school with a high proportion of children from Non-English Speaking Backgrounds; it is situated in close proximity to the university. School C: a small school in the ACT catering to a range of socioeconomic groups; it is strongly committed to issues of social justice and human rights.

The selection of schools was motivated by a desire to apply the theoretical framework to children who may be economically disadvantaged or belong to an ethnic minority or both. While some researchers attribute poorer school and IQ test performance to lower socio-economic status (Davis, 1948), more recent literature in Black studies argue that it is not possible to separate socioeconomic status from racial factors (Hale-Benson, 1986; Baldwin, 1987). The over-representation of particular ethnic groups, such as African-Americans, in low income and poverty statistics (Center for the Study of Social Policy, 1986) suggests that cultural factors and socioeconomic status are inextricably related.

Phase One of the study (1994) has involved the researcher working intensively with the designated teachers at the school. The focus at this phase is depicted below:

Objective 1: To develop assessment activities and protocols that can be readily implemented by teachers in their classrooms

Researcher Activities	Timeline
Initial teacher training in MI theory	Term 1, 1994
Initial interviews with teachers	Term 1, 1994

Establishment of classroom procedures	Term 1, 1994
Development of relevant classroom activities	Terms 1-2, 1994
Interviews with children and family members	Terms 2-4, 1994
Development of observation guidelines	Terms 2-4, 1994
Development of assessment tasks and protocols	Terms 1-4, 1994
Observation notes of children in classrooms	Terms 1-4, 1994

Thus, by the end of the first phase in December, 1994, the researcher will have developed the assessment activities and protocols for teachers to use in their classrooms, thereby meeting the first objective.

Phase Two of the study (1995) will involve the implementation of the classroom strategies and assessment activities in the three classrooms. The focus at this phase is depicted below:

Objective 2: To enhance teachers' skills in identifying and nurturing the cognitive abilities of each student, thereby altering their perceptions of the nature of intelligence

Objective 3: To work towards the development of model classrooms based on MI Theory (Gardner, 1983) that will promote the diverse cognitive abilities of the students

Researcher Activities	Timeline
Ongoing collaboration between researcher and teachers	Terms 1-4, 1995
Implementation of relevant classroom activities	Terms 1-4, 1995
Implementation of assessment tasks and protocols	Terms 1-4, 1995
Interviews with children and family members	Terms 2-3, 1995
Observation notes of children in classrooms	Terms 2-4, 1995
Classroom visits by other teachers	Term 4, 1995
Exit interviews with teachers	Term 4, 1995
Conclusions of study shared with participants	Early 1996

It is anticipated that at the end of this phase, the researcher will have:

1. Established three model classrooms based on MI Theory that focus on the diverse cognitive abilities of the students.
2. Broadened the teachers' perceptions of the nature of intelligence

and enhanced their skills in identifying and nurturing the cognitive abilities of each student.

Covert Racism

Many researchers on racism have explored the ways in which the institutions of the majority culture disadvantage members of ethnic minorities in all aspects of life, including school (Mirza, 1992; Wetherell & Potter, 1992; Kozol, 1991; Wellman, 1993; Howitt & Owusu-Bempah, 1994). In particular, these authors demonstrate that racism is not simply a matter of overt prejudice but permeates every aspect of our interactions. Thus, even those people who would avow their "colour-blind" tendencies, hold assumptions and stereotypes, and interact in such a way that reinforces inferior status on minority groups.

Although the collection and analysis of data of the current study are still in the preliminary stages, a number of interesting patterns have emerged. Each of these relates to ways in which children from NESB backgrounds are subject to the type of covert racism alluded to above. The patterns are as follows:

- * teachers equate standard English usage with level of intelligence;
- * the conduct of ESL classrooms can provide children with an impoverished linguistic environment rather than an enriched one;
- * children from particular ethnic groups are treated homogeneously; and,
- * compliance is highly regarded in NESB children.

The following examples have been selected to illustrate the patterns indicated above. Pseudonyms have been used to protect the identity of the participants.

Teachers equate standard English usage with level of intelligence
At Schools A and B, teachers acknowledged a wide range of abilities as indicative of giftedness in their discussions with the researcher. However, when they were asked to nominate which children in their class were gifted, they invariably selected children whose verbal proficiency in English was superior to other children. Thus, at School A, Rhonda selected Leah, Abdullah and David—all children exhibited an ability to speak, read and write well in standard English—as the brightest in her Grade 1 class; these children were allowed to work independently on activities while the other children were required to remain in the slower-paced teacher-directed activities. By contrast, a Filipino child who demonstrated an outstanding analytical ability and eye for detail was not considered by the teacher to be bright. All the teachers in the study made similar judgements about the children in

their classes. Thus, the teachers were using the child's facility in standard English as a de facto measure of intelligence.

The conduct of ESL classrooms can provide children with an impoverished linguistic environment rather than an enriched one. At School B, the Kindergarten teacher had asked me to observe Samuel, a Chinese boy whom she considered intelligent but who did not speak readily. In one such observation in the classroom (13/5/94), Samuel was immersed in a rich linguistic environment as he interacted with the teacher, the Kindergarten aides and other children. He demonstrated his understanding of the teacher's instructions as he immediately and accurately completed the tasks required. He also worked with other children. In all these interactions, Samuel spoke only when directly asked a question but he listened to a wide variety of language types (instructions from teacher; descriptions of the children's drawing activities; co-operative sharing of puzzle completion; and so on). Samuel was then withdrawn with seven other children for ESL

instruction. The ESL teacher was midway through a reconstruction of Hattie and the Fox with the group. Each child had a collection of stencilled sheets in which the parts of the body had been removed for the children to complete; the final sheet was given to the children in this lesson. As soon as he received the sheet, Samuel reached for a pencil and started to fill in the missing words until he was instructed to "Wait for the other children." The teacher directed the entire session with minimal linguistic instructions: "What part is next?"; "Watch the board"; and, "Colour the fox." All children had to simultaneously name the part of the fox's body, watch the teacher write the word on the board and then copy it onto their sheets. In this situation, Samuel was completing tasks well below his capability at a pace that was far too slow for him. Throughout the entire session, Samuel was not required to speak a single word nor was he immersed in a rich linguistic environment and yet it was his oral language abilities that concerned his Kindergarten teacher.

This example demonstrates the mismatch that can occur between an NESB child's intellectual needs and the compensatory language program that s/he is given. The problem is exacerbated when the ESL teacher has not been adequately trained to fulfil the role.

Children from particular ethnic groups are treated homogeneously. At School B, the Grade 1 classroom featured a number of children from Papua/New Guinea. I was asked to observe Tobias because the teacher was concerned about his learning problems so she was inclined toward disruptive behaviour. My observations confirmed that he was able to complete the tasks required of him but he very quickly lost interest. When I discussed my initial observations of Tobias with the teacher, she indicated to me that she had been surprised at his lack of ability because he had attended the same school in Papua/New Guinea as James, a

particularly bright child in the class. Level of ability and thus, intelligence was being equated with the schooling a child had received. Would such assumptions be made about white children?

Compliance is highly regarded in NESB children

A common element in all the classrooms was that children who were compliant were regarded as more capable than their not-so-compliant peers. Invariably, compliant children such as Samuel at School B (mentioned above) and Leah, Abdullah and David at School A, were described to me as the brighter children in the class. Children who were behaviourally problematic were invariably described in terms of their deficits rather than any strengths they may exhibit. Even when a child's intellectual abilities were acknowledged by the teacher, they were not always appropriately encouraged as in the following example.

At School B, the teacher was discussing with her Grade 1 class which day of the week it was. She held up flashcards and the children were asked to read the day. When she held up "Thursday", she drew attention to the "ur" sound and asked which other day also included the "ur" sound. After a few attempts, the answer of Saturday was given. As the teacher resumed "testing" the days of the week, James, a boy from Papua/New Guinea, said, "Turtle has the 'ur' sound, too." The teacher nodded at the child and continued with the days of the week when James again "interrupted" with, "And dinosaur. That ends with 'ur'." The teacher looked at him and with ill-humour, said, "Yes, but dinosaur doesn't make the 'ur' sound, does it?" before continuing with the lesson. What interpretations can be made of this exchange? We could assume that this is a teacher who does not welcome interruptions that take the class "off-task" and this is certainly the explanation given after the lesson. I commented to the teacher that James was a bright boy to which she responded, "John is too smart. He gets us off task all

the time." However, on a number of other occasions, I observed the teacher capitalising on those "off-task" teaching moments when the observation was made by an Anglo-Saxon girl. Clearly this is a situation that needs to be observed further before a conclusion can be legitimately reached but it is interesting, nevertheless.

Conclusions

In the classrooms observed in this study, high proportions of NESB children are not having their intellectual gifts recognised and nurtured, largely as a result of covert racism that is, assumptions and interactions in which children are characterised as deficit language learners rather than those with intellectual strengths in a variety of forms. In fact, the term NESB itself is a symptom of such deficit modelling. Thus, racist behaviours extend beyond the commonly acknowledged cultural bias in standardised testing procedures (Howitt & Owusu-Bempah, 1994) to the more insidious and subtle classroom interactions between teachers and students.

The current study has revealed some patterns that need to be challenged if a more equitable education system is to be attained. To begin with, teachers need to acknowledge the ways in which racist and cultural stereotyping impacts on their classroom behaviours. They must also recognise that underachievement of many NESB students is often a reflection of the failure of educational policies and practices and not primarily the fault of the students. Apple (1993) has argued that the shift in educational discourse to the right is evident in the demands for voucher systems, development of key competencies, and so on, as marginalised "disadvantaged" students and placed the blame for educational failure on the victim. Finally, teachers need to be encouraged to reflect on their classroom practice in such a way that the differences between what they say and do become more readily apparent. It is the contention of this author that the adoption of a framework such as Gardner's MI may assist in this process. Given the preliminary nature of the analysis of these data, however, the conclusions need to be verified or refuted through more extensive data collection in the future.

References

- Abatso, Y. (1985) The coping personality: A study of black community college students. In Spencer, M. B., Brookins, G. K., and Allen, W. R. (Ed.), *Beginnings: The social and affective development of black children*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Apple, M. (1993) Constructing the "Other": Rightist reconstructions of common sense. In C. McCarthy & W. Crichlow (Ed), *Race, identity and representation in education*. New York: Routledge.
- Baldwin, A. Y. (1987) I'm black but look at me, I am also gifted. *Gifted child quarterly*, 31 (4), 180-185.
- Bruner, J. (1986) *Actual minds, possible worlds*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Ceci, S.J. (1990) *On intelligence...more or less*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Center for the Study of Social Policy (1986) The "flip-side" of black families headed by women: The economic status of black men. In R. Staples (ed), *The black family: Essays and studies*. Third Edition. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Co.
- Clark, B. (1992) *Growing Up Gifted*. Fourth Edition. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill & Company.
- Davis, A. (1948) *Social-class influences upon learning*. The Inglis Lecture. Published Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1965.
- Frasier, M. (1987) Barriers to identifying minority gifted students. *Gifted Students Institute Quarterly*, 7 (3), 5-8.
- Gardner, H. (1983) *Frames of Mind*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H. (1993) *Multiple intelligences: The theory in practice*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Gardner, H. & Hatch, T. (1989) *Multiple intelligences go to school*.

Educational researcher, 18 (8), 4-10.

Gould, S. (1981) *The Mismeasure of Man*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Hale-Benson, J. E. (1986) *Black children: Their roots, culture, and learning styles*. Revised Edition. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press.

Heath, S. B. (1983) *Ways with words*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Holliday, B. G. (1985) Developmental imperatives of social ecologies: Lessons learned from black children. In McAdoo, H. P. and McAdoo, J. L. (editors), *Black children*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.

Howitt, D. & Owusu-Bempha, J. (1994) *The racism of psychology: Time for change*. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Kozol, J. (1991) *Savage inequalities*. New York: Crown Publishers, Inc.

Krechevsky, M. & Gardner, H. (1990) The emergence and nurturance of multiple intelligences: The Project Spectrum approach. In Howe, M. (Ed.), *Encouraging the development of exceptional skills and talents*, Leicester, U.K.: British Psychological Society.

McAdoo, H. P. & McAdoo, J. L. (Ed.) (1985) *Black children*. Beverly Hills: Sage.

Mirza, H. (1992) *Young, female and black*. London: Routledge.

Nobles, W. (1981) African-American family life: An instrument of culture. In H. McAdoo (Ed.), *Black families*. Beverly Hills: Sage.

Sisk, D. (1988) The children at risk: The identification of the gifted among the minority. *Gifted Education International*, 5, 138-141.

Spencer, M., Brookins, G. & Allen, W. (Ed.). (1985) *Beginnings: The social and affective development of black children*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Staples, R. (Ed.) (1986) *The black family: Essays and studies*. Third Edition. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc.

Sternberg, R. J. (1985) *Beyond I.Q.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sternberg, R. & Detterman, D. (Eds.) (1986) *What is Intelligence?* Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Company.

Supplee, P. L. (1990) *Reaching the Gifted Underachiever*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Vialle, W. (1991) *Tuesday's children: A study of five children using multiple intelligences theory as a framework*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of South Florida.

Vialle, W. (1993) The Prism study. In H. Rosselli & G. MacLauchlan (ed) *Blue Printing for the Future*. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida. Pp 123-149.

Wellman, D. (1993) *Portraits of white racism*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.

Wetherell, M. & Potter, J. (1992) *Mapping the language of racism*. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.