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Abstract

This paper analyses current policy and practice relating to the selection of teachers for rural areas of Australia and contrasts these to the perceptions of rural teachers regarding needed additions to pre-service preparation. Based on research which explores the perceptions of a sample of teachers newly appointed to isolated rural schools during the course of one academic year in the western regions of Queensland, one of Australia's 'outback' states, and an analysis of both national and state policy contexts for teacher selection and employment for rural teaching, conclusions regarding both the need for specialised preparation programmes for rural teachers and the responsibility of education departments in the development of specific rural staffing policies are drawn.

The methodology used for this project incorporates a document analysis of national, state and regional policies which focus on the provision of teachers for rural education, interviews with teacher recruitment personnel in state and regional departments of education and a series of in-depth, structured interviews with teachers from small rural schools. The document analysis summarised those statements relating to policy and practice on rural issues taken from national reports and documents provided by appropriate government departments. These are discussed against a background of the current literature dealing with equality and social justice issues in a rural context. Interviews with departmental personnel in each state provided current information regarding the state of policy development and practice in rural teacher selection. Data gathered from the teacher interviews were analysed through a process of inductive categorisation and related to general research questions focusing upon professional preparation and teacher needs.

Conclusions derived from this study raise the issue of
government and departmental recognition of rural needs and the generation of specific policy guidelines, expectations and resultant practice related to the selection of teachers for rural areas. In addition, conclusions from this study indicate the need for specialised programmes of teacher education and induction for rural teachers and suggests that staffing policies in departments of education must reflect national policies promoting the selection of teachers for rural schools who have undergone appropriate programmes to prepare them for such work.

Introduction

The international literature on rural provision has for decades stressed the importance of equity, equal opportunity and social justice in consideration of policies and practice governing the adequate human and material resourcing of small rural and isolated schools from Alaska to New Zealand (NBEET, 1991; Devlin, 1988; Darnell, 1981; Sher, 1981; Turney, 1980). Additionally, the same literature has described, countless times, the professional and social differences associated with work in these contexts and the need for specialised pre-service preparation, teacher induction and inservice training programmes to accommodate such differences. Despite this recognition, the international scene presents a barren picture of specific rural training programmes (Ramirez 1981), with very few colleges or universities specifically training teachers for rural service (Bell & Sigsworth, 1987; Sher, 1981; and Angus 1980).

Substantial rural populations around the world are served by small, rural and isolated schools. For example, the combined rural population of the 24 OECD countries is larger than the combined population of the world's 25 largest metropolitan areas, and, according to Sher (1981), such a predominance of small schools suggests that neither their strengths, nor their needs should be considered marginal and that both national and local policies should be designed to attend to both.

Australian teachers' attitudes towards teaching in the 'outback' have progressed a great deal since Richmond (1953) described such an activity as 'forced exile'. With detailed descriptions of unsuitable physical accommodations, drinking water and lavatories that were virtually non-existent, lower salaries, poor teaching materials, equipment and facilities, heavy workloads, frequently inept leadership, and want of supportive and specialised personnel (Carliner, 1969; Muse, 1977) the picture of the young and inexperienced teacher who 'didn't want to be there', added to the legitimacy of the notion of rural teachers 'being dragooned
into teaching in isolated schools' (Turney, 1980) and enduring Richmond's 'forced exile'.

Other writers of the same era praised teachers for exercising an 'uplifting influence on small communities', suggesting that the capably handled small school is "characterised by a warm pupil-teacher relationship and a relaxed atmosphere", where "children receive individual attention in their studies and can proceed at their own pace", and where the school itself becomes 'the social centre for the whole district and an educational influence far beyond the mere curriculum'(Browne, 1927). These children "are given many opportunities to develop initiative and self-reliance since much of their time is spent working without assistance. There is usually a very active and close relationship between school and home." (Maclaine, 1973. P.75) Notwithstanding the benefits of small rural schools, much difficulty was experienced in attracting experienced teachers to certain localities and rural regions were characterised by a high turnover of young and inexperienced staff. Fitzgerald, (1976, P. 67) suggested that teachers for these communities came in "from outside with little understanding of the life style or of existing relationships", their training was generally city based and their move to the country involved little choice. It was generally conceded that teachers came to rural schools as a means of promotion rather than for a desire to work in rural areas. The resulting negative perceptions of the rural child & community were symptomatic of attitudes seen to prevail at the prospect of rural teaching assignments (Turney, 1980. P.32).

Further, since the 1850's there has been increasing concern raised about the quality of educational opportunities afforded the outback child. Current awareness of social justice and equity considerations have added to these concerns. Many advances have been made during the last century to remedy obvious deficiencies, however, the completeness of the process of educating the outback child has been more recently criticised and the need for much greater attention to the problem has been realised (Turney, 1980).

The following extracts from more recent reports summarise a situation that appears to have changed little since earlier times.

Teachers in rural schools face special challenges and conditions not necessarily experienced by other teachers. (Commonwealth Schools Commission, 1987.)

Teachers (in most Australian states) can expect to be appointed to geographically isolated areas at some stage of their career.
Teachers accepting appointment in rural schools do so without adequate preparation for rural teaching (Watson et al, 1986; Lake, 1986).

Few teacher preparation institutions offer programmes to prepare teachers for work in rural areas (Queensland Board of Teacher Education, 1983).

Those special challenges and conditions experienced by teachers working in rural areas include living conditions, relationships with communities, assigned professional tasks in small schools, the level of professional support provided, restricted access to family, friends and other social needs and expanded educational services for the families of teachers. These significant challenges are magnified by the fact that teachers who accept positions in rural schools do so without adequate preparation for rural teaching (Watson et al, 1986; Lake, 1986).

There is little doubt that the literature supports the notion that teachers feel inadequately prepared for the realities of living and working in rural and remote areas (CSC, 1987, P.142). In 1983 the Queensland Board of Teacher Education presented a report that looked specifically at the preparation of teachers for rural areas. Recognition was made in the report that geographic isolation was a feature of many of the schools in the state, but few teacher preparation institutions offered training support for those likely to work in such areas.

There is considerably more that teacher training institutions could do to encourage their students to consider teaching in rural areas, especially those students who show a predisposition towards an appointment to remote schools. Given that graduating teachers have shown a greater willingness to teach in areas with which they have some familiarity (Watson et al, 1986, pp21-26), an appropriate conclusion would be for teacher education students to study aspects of rural schooling and gain experience in living and teaching in these areas in order to increase the available pool of teachers likely to be successful in rural appointments.

Research Methodology

The methodology used for this project incorporated a document analysis of recent national reports and policy statements on rural education, a series of telephone interviews with representatives of teacher recruitment offices in state or regional departments of education across the country, and in-
depth, structured interviews with a sample of newly appointed rural teachers from 'outback' regions of Queensland.

Document Analysis
Nine recent national and state level reports focusing upon statements of policy or strategies for the provision of educational services to rural communities were analysed for statements concerning the training, supply and selection of teachers with specialised skills for appointment to rural schools. These reports were generated between 1987 and 1992 by the Commonwealth Schools Commission, the National Board of Employment, Education and Training, the Victorian Ministry of Education and the Department of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, the Schools Council, UNESCO with assistance from the Northern Territory Department of Education and the Department of Employment Education and Training, the Queensland Department of Education, and the Queensland Council of Parents and Citizen's Associations Incorporated.

Telephone interviews
a) Department of Education Personnel
Telephone interviews with key departmental personnel responsible for teaching staff selection practices from all state and territory level organisations in Australia (with the exception of the Australian Capital Territory) provided descriptions of current practices across the country and in some instances relevant documentation being forwarded to the author in cases where this material existed. Seven of the eight states or territories of Australia are represented in these data.

b) Newly Appointed Rural Teachers in Queensland
A series of in-depth, structured interviews were conducted with all twenty four teachers who had been appointed to small rural schools during one academic year in the two most western regions of Queensland. Data gathered from these interviews were analysed through a process of 'inductive categorisation' and related to general research questions focusing upon the professional preparation needs of teachers newly appointed to rural schools.

Following the results of pilot interviews, the structured interview schedule was developed and formed the basis of two, hour long interviews with each subject. Demographic details regarding the subject, and the school were gathered for comparative reasons. Interviews were recorded on audio tape to allow repeated reference to the main source of data during the development of written summaries of the interviews. Through the
creation of such an 'audit trail' for each concept analysed, credibility, transferability, dependability and confirmability were guaranteed for the categories and conclusions that were generated. (Guba and Lincoln, 1983)

Review of National Policies on Rural Schooling

Australia's outback communities experience educational contexts and needs of a magnitude similar to that described in the international literature. In addition, special skills and knowledge are required to teach particular sectors of the Australian school population, such as Aboriginal children and children in isolated areas (NBEET, 1989). In direct contrast to the relative lack of government or departmental action aimed at remedying the deficiencies of rural inequality of earlier eras, the present Commonwealth Government's has renewed concern for education in rural areas and has attempted to address a variety of issues through a flurry of recent federally funded reports. Schooling in Rural Australia (1987), Difficult Educational Contexts (1988), A Fair Go: The Federal Government's Strategy for Rural Education and Training (1989), A Fair Chance for All: National and Institutional Planning for Equity in Higher Education (1989), Teacher Quality (1989), Delivery of Rural Education and Training (1989), Focus on Schools (1990), Toward a National Strategy for Rural Australians (1991), Policy Statement of the Queensland Council of Parents and Citizens' Associations Incorporated (1992), have each raised substantial issues designed to address the imbalance of services and opportunities provided to rural learners.

The Commonwealth has acknowledged a commitment 'to provide to the people of rural and regional Australia, in a cost effective manner, the fullest possible range of services as is provided in the major cities (Statement on Rural and Regional Australia, p.4). Equally, the concepts of social justice and equity, which, in Australia, means that on a day to day basis 'each person living in Australia has a fair chance and receives a fair share in the distribution of economic and social resources' (National Board of Employment, Education and Training, 1991; Queensland Department of Education, 1990), are promoted frequently and form a strong component of the Commonwealth government's current educational platform. Each report mentioned above outlines the principle of equity in rural schooling as one based on a doctrine of fairness and impartiality which, inter alia, requires deliberations about the provision of well qualified teachers who are specifically prepared for rural teaching, to be considered in terms of a fair allotment of the intellectual wealth available to
all Australians.

Concomitantly, special consideration should be given to selecting teachers to cater for the needs of disadvantages groups (QCPCA, 1992). In their policy on the staffing of isolated schools, the QCPCA suggest the need to overcome the difficulties experienced by isolated teachers through the provision of specialised training and professional benefits in addition to the initial selection experienced teachers for these areas. The report, Focus on Schools, (Queensland Department of Education, 1990) recommends elaborate processes designed to formalise incentives to attract quality applicants to leadership positions in more remote areas and to guarantee equity in the process.

In the context of the Commonwealth Government's overall objective to increase rural Australian's access to and participation in education and training, the report, Towards a National Education and Training Strategy for Rural Australians (NBEET, 1991), focuses upon a more equitable representation of non-metropolitan people in all sectors of post-compulsory education and training. The major conclusion of this fact finding body was that despite the 'considerable effort and expenditure of the commonwealth and state governments and rural communities themselves, the provision of post-compulsory education and training for non-metropolitan Australians remains 'uneven and inadequate'. People living in non-metropolitan locations are simply not participating in education and training to levels that are comparable to their metropolitan counterparts. It is widely accepted that lower participation rates in education and training are an indicator of disadvantage. One third of Australians are classified as non-metropolitan and therefore suffer 'locational disadvantage' in relation to post compulsory opportunities. This should be a matter of great concern to all governments, not only on social justice grounds but on economic grounds as well. It would appear inconceivable to allow discrimination against one third of the nation's labour force by allowing this situation to continue without remedy.

In analysing the submissions received by this working party the single most common factor leading to non-participation is lack of access to the broad range of formal and informal educational options without major relocation and significant personal costs. Other variables mentioned referred to the perception of lower quality services in rural locations and inappropriate provision.

In reviewing available research literature and government reports, this working party recognised the plethora of reports on rural education and suggested that the central issues of rural
participation in and access to education and training required a coordinated national response. The relative absence of concerted and co-ordinated action to remedy these situations has been frequently recognised in this report.

Various state governments have acknowledged the need for quality rural education to be supported and enhanced. In Queensland, for example, the report of the Remote Area Incentives Scheme suggested that "to improve the learning experiences of children in remote, difficult to staff schools of Queensland" a process of "attracting more experienced staff to remote locations and retaining these teachers in remote schools for longer periods" would be necessary. This report continues by suggesting that a professional development programme for rural and remote teachers should be developed and include a preparation component prior to remote service, support during remote service and, in special cases, support at the conclusion of service in remote schools. It is made clear in this report that employers have not only a responsibility, but a necessity to provide these support services to rural and remote teachers and the communities they service to ensure educational and financial accountability and fair treatment in social justice terms. (Loney, 1993)

In the final conclusions and recommendations from a report funded by the Ministry of Education in Victoria concerning the Delivery of Rural Education and Training (1989), it was recognised that efforts must be made to attract and retain appropriately trained and competent people for work in rural areas, and later, that steps must be taken to ensure that teacher training courses include subjects, units and practical experience that will equip and encourage teachers to seek rural appointments. The unspoken, and often non-existent assumption behind these statements supporting pre-service training inclusions is that teacher recruitment and selection policies at state and regional levels incorporate the facility to select specific skills for specific locations and positions according to the dictates of such reports.

Other Commonwealth reports have considered the range of content appropriate for inclusion in pre-service training. Such teacher preparation programmes should include: rural culture and social conditions; Aboriginal culture and the multicultural nature of rural society; knowledge about the natural conditions of rural and especially remote areas, including climatic conditions; the nature of small town life; mechanisms for adapting to local resources and limited services; and multi-grade teaching approaches as well as the provision of teaching practice in rural and remote areas. Recommendations from the second UNESCO workshop on the training of primary education personnel working in difficult educational contexts suggest that teacher training
institutions should incorporate courses of study which develop awareness of remote area teaching and include approaches to multi-grade teaching and community based education (UNESCO, 1988).

Despite this recent activity at a national level however,

operational policy development at both state and regional education department levels lags far behind, and teacher training for rural situations in Australia remains at generally meagre levels.

State Level Policy and Practice in the Selection of Teachers for Rural Schools

Australia has six states and two territories that are largely responsible for the organisation and maintenance of the systems of schooling within their boundaries. Each of these states or territories organise their schooling systems through the function of centralised state departments of education or ministries of education. Variations occur from state to state with regard to the degree of 'decentralisation' of decision making responsibility that has 'devolved' to regional offices of education.

In order to describe the extent of state or regional policy development aimed specifically at staff recruitment practices designed to support the selection of teachers with appropriate qualifications for appointment to rural schools, telephone interviews were conducted with either the executive director of the region or the employment or recruitment officer responsible for teacher selection in state department, ministry or regional offices of education, with the exception of the Australian Capital Territory, during May, 1993. In each case the interviewee was asked to provide all of the policy documents or information available which would impact upon the selection of teachers specifically for rural teaching, who exhibited the appropriate specialised training or experience to suit the demands of the job.

With the exception of only one state, which provided details of promotions criteria applicable to the appointment of principals to rural schools, each regional or central organisation representative offered similar responses. In most cases, the response simply suggested that no policy existed for such 'specialised selection' practices as all staff were processed centrally. Other comments suggested that there was no policy in place, that the same process and the same set of expectations
were used for all teachers, that no special arrangements existed for selecting teachers for rural postings or that no special policy or process was used but attempts were made to look for people with Aboriginal experience or qualifications for special Aboriginal placement.

Each state or region did, however, provide space on application forms for the applicant to indicate any special qualifications or interests which could be considered during placement decisions. The categories offered as examples generally referred to early childhood, Languages Other Than English (LOTE), librarianship, sport or any disability the applicant had that might need to be considered during placement. Again, a category referencing Aboriginal Education appeared to be the closest reference to rural education, but no organisation included reference to specialised study or experience of rural education, despite the apparent ease with which this could be added to a list for the guidance of applicants.

A common practice in all states and territories was that of requesting the applicant to indicate preferences for placement in particular districts. Some argument could be mounted suggesting that this process provided information that could be used to match particular people to rural schools who exhibited a willingness to teach in rural areas, but the argument could not extend to include a presumption that this request for information constituted a conscious attempt to match people with expertise or training in rural education to appropriate placements.

From the apparent lack of specific policy and guidelines focusing upon the specialised recruitment of teachers for work in rural and isolated schools existing in State departments, and their regional counterparts across the country, it appears that there is no concerted effort on the part of the majority of states or regions of Australia to guarantee specific selection practices designed to match rural teaching experience and expertise to rural settings.

It should be made clear, however, that when interviewees were asked for a reaction to their lack of policy on this issue, several referred to the idea that, although no guarantees were made, it was common practice during the teacher selection process and specifically teacher interviews, to consider such specialised training in placement decisions. Other comments suggested that prospective teacher employees are selected on the overall balance of teaching skill and experience exhibited during the selection process and, although there was no guarantee that such information would necessarily result in specialised placement, it
was important for applicants to 'put forward all of their skills and abilities' for analysis. One response indicated that it would be a 'good idea to recognise teachers with special training for rural teaching', but the region was 'not doing that yet'. It is the contention of this paper that no standard approach to the selection of teachers with appropriate training or experience is in use in these states and that where attempts are made to match appropriate skills to rural placements, such efforts are random and little effort is expended in formalising the process.

Teacher Preparation Needs for Rural Schools

The discussion that follows is based upon the data collected from a sample of twenty four teachers who were newly appointed to small rural Queensland schools for the first time in their careers. Demographic details of this sample appear in Table 1.

Table 1 - Demographic details of teacher respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior Teaching Experience</td>
<td>Less than one year</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One to Two years</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two to Five years</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at Current School</td>
<td>Six months or less</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Rural Placement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Teachers at School</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Outback Experience</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior to discussing the perceptions of these subjects concerning
their level of preparation for these isolated situations, it is of interest to note their attitudes and first reactions to a rural appointment. If we are to believe the overriding orientation of the literature preceding this study, the attitudes of teachers 'caught' in these isolated situations could be summarised by quoting Richmond's (1953) reference to 'forced exile'. The subjects of this study, however, overwhelmingly contradict this earlier finding. Twenty of the twenty-four subjects had requested a placement similar to the one they received. Upon being notified of their new post, twenty-three of the twenty-four reported a largely positive reaction to the appointment, while only three of these reported somewhat of a 'mixed' reaction. It could be said then, that subjects' perceptions of the adequacy of their preparation for these posts were not clouded by a latent hostility to their isolation or their placement, but stem from a realistic evaluation of both personal and professional preparedness for the rigours of the job.

In the process of analysing the strengths and deficiencies of their performance in small isolated rural schools, this sample of teachers has described a list of skills, strategies, and teacher attributes missing from their pre-service preparation that carry clear connotations for teacher education institutions across the country. Questions, such as, "How would you rate your performance over the last three months?", "Describe your most disappointing moments during this period.", "What factors have influenced your success?" and "What strategies do you need to develop to cope with the expectations of your job?" led naturally, in the minds of these respondents, to discussions of their own perceived weaknesses and the likely causes of these weaknesses in their professional preparation. The categories of responses appearing in this discussion were the result of repeated organisation and re-organisation of interview responses into logically related groupings. This process of 'inductive categorisation' (Crowther, Cronk, King & Gibson, 1991) produced the profiles outlined in the tables that follow.

Table 2 is comprised of a series of cross-referenced responses to questions relating to the adequacy of professional preparation, perceptions of success, the identification of personal and professional skills requiring development, and general evaluations of teacher preparedness for the situation. In organising teacher reactions to questions, similar, multiple comments from the same respondent have only been counted once. For example, organisation and planning difficulties have been experienced by a respondent and has become an overriding theme in the responses received from that subject throughout the interview. These similar responses have been grouped together under a general heading, such as 'Organisation / Planning'. This
category, for example, contains such items as, "I didn't expect it to be so hard to organise things", "I am so disorganised!", "Organisation and planning are such a heavy burden", "I'm frustrated with planning, it's a bit like Mission Impossible!" "Planning, timetabling and group work need help, I need organisational assistance", "I feel as though I've overcome the organisational difficulties I started out with and things are going quite well in that area now." Frequency of response is not an issue in this study.

It becomes immediately noticeable that these teachers agree on several areas of skill or training deficiency. Sixty-seven percent feel a strong need for assistance in dealing with the strategies associated with multi-age groupings that are so prevalent in these small isolated schools. The urgency of this training need is increased when it is realised that all subjects have responsibility for at least two age groups, 79% of them have to cope with three or more age groups, and 46% take total responsibility for the educational growth of seven age groups.

A closely associated concern is that of curriculum organisation and planning. This category extends from matters dealing with classroom organisation and planning to school based curriculum organisation and planning. Sixty-three percent, of the respondents expressed concern with their level of preparation in this area. In the minds of the subjects, a very fine line separates organisational and planning considerations from general administrative considerations. 46% of the sample referenced concern with their lack of familiarity with school administrative procedures, while 64% of those having administrative responsibilities outside of the normal classroom see themselves inadequately trained for the responsibilities assigned to them. In total, seventy-five percent of respondents perceive themselves as underskilled in areas concerning rural classroom organisation and small school administration.

Table 2 - Rural teachers' perceptions of deficiencies in their pre-service preparation programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category as a training deficiency</th>
<th>Percentage recognising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor preparation for rural teaching</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific rural teaching strategies</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another area closely associated with the organisation and management of teaching responsibilities is that of 'pupil assessment'. This category refers to the need to be able to assess student ability and generate appropriate placements within the scope and sequence of curriculum most appropriate to their level of performance in what is frequently a complex, multi-age framework with few age mates available for peer group work. Twelve teachers (50%) expressed a concern with their lack of expertise in this area. Suggestions were made that some need to emphasise the importance of continuity and record maintenance during a staffing changeover might overcome this problem. A significant number of responses suggested the need for greater knowledge of both curriculum content (33%) and the scope and sequence of content (33%) appropriate to all age levels.

Other areas of skill or knowledge deficiency concerning this sample of teachers revolve around appropriate and effective techniques for the management of time in rural settings when little professional support is available on a day to day basis (41.6%), strategies for dealing with lower grade students in mixed age classes (29%), inadequate perception of job responsibility and extent (16.6%) and ability to locate and acquire resources (8.3%).

A major area requiring increased training effort was revealed when eleven teachers (45.8%) identified a concern with their lack of ability in exercising community interaction strategies that were successful and productive. When analysed further, the findings presented in Table 3 resulted. Seventy-one percent of these teachers recognised signs of supportive interest from some quarters in the community. Seventy-nine percent of the total sample, however, reported concern with problems arising from community situations. 54.2% of these teachers believed that strong community expectations required a moderating of their teaching performance. Generalised 'problems' were alluded to by 41.6% of the sample. Many of these problem areas include value
clashes, liberal criticism for which the teacher is unprepared, a
total lack of involvement of the community, socio-economic or
sectarian divisiveness within the community, "living in a fish
bowl", and being victims of the 'grapevine'. 'Value clashes' were
experienced by 33% and 16.6% made some comment about the
influence of a 'male dominated' society on their teaching.

Table 3 - Rural teachers' perceptions of deficiencies in their
in-service and induction programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category or induction programme</th>
<th>Percentage recognising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of existing community problems</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community involvement strategies</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of community expectations</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value clashes</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male dominated orientation</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource acquisition processes</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seventy one percent of this sample reported strong community
involvement in school activities. Further need for increased
training effort was revealed when eleven teachers (45.8%)
identified a concern with their lack of ability in exercising
community interaction strategies that were successful and
productive. In combination, these results suggest that further
effort is required on the part of teacher training institutions,
or departmental induction processes to create, within these
teachers, an awareness of community dynamics which influence the
teaching-learning environment and to provide them with the
skills necessary to make the most of these situations.

In addition to areas traditionally included in teacher
preparation programmes, other areas of relevance to rural
conditions were uncovered. For example, information about the
expectations on the teacher by stakeholder groups may be of great
importance to the preparation of teachers unfamiliar with small
isolated communities and the role of the teacher in those
communities. In describing their impressions of the expectations
held for them by the 'Department', these teachers perceive that,
as they have general primary teaching qualifications, the
'Department' expects them to be totally capable and in control of
every primary school situation regardless of location or community composition. The teachers themselves feel inadequate and in need of support (33%). They perceive the 'Department' demanding that administrative duties and requirements of small schools be mastered and met without prior instruction or assistance, even at the expense of teaching (21%). Twenty-one percent of the respondents feel they will be left totally alone as long as they fulfil their small school administrative duties (21%). It is encouraging to note however, that another 25% of these subjects are more than aware of the support and encouragement afforded them by the Department through competent inspectors, consultants and advisers who are readily available and willing to assist.

Perceived expectations held by their host communities are also of interest to these teachers. It is generally felt that these communities view 'good' teachers as those capable of communicating the basic skills (37.5%), with an authoritarian, strict approach (37.5%), and doing the job required without expecting the community to be involved (37.5%). Smaller percentages of these respondents feel that they are expected to become involved in community activities (29%), be organised (16.6%), do what the community expects (12.5%) and be a model or example for their children (8.3%).

What do these perceived expectations infer then for teachers newly appointed to these situations? Seventy-one percent of them feel it is of utmost importance to be forewarned of a community's expectations, values and composition if their immediate success is to be encouraged. Additionally, they have developed and refined techniques, frequently through trial and error, that have proved successful in dealing with community influences. Fifty-eight percent of the respondents are convinced that making an effort to join in, to 'have a go', will alleviate many of the concerns effecting 'new' teachers in a community. Some suggest however, that listening, in a guarded diplomatic, non-judgmental way (33%) is a major rule of personal and professional survival. Others suggest that accepting the situation as it is and for what it is (33%), making an effort to communicate with the community by whatever means is acceptable (16.6%) and 'lying low' in order to assess the situation before embarking on any community involvement (16.6%) are acceptable means of dealing with these unsure situations.

These teachers have struggled to survive in new situations with varying results, but what areas of skill not related to professional or community considerations are seen to be important in terms of survival strategies for these teachers? What has allowed these professionals to perceive themselves as successful, to feel 'in control' and effective in rural teaching situations?
Twenty-one of the twenty-four subjects (87.5%) in this study are dependent upon a strategy that revolves around interaction and communication. 70.8% of the entire sample rely on contacting professional colleagues, consultants, support groups or just sharing and talking with friends or acquaintances to cater to their needs for affiliation, contact or feedback. 45.8% of them cope with the strains of the situation by 'joining in' and interacting with those around them in community activities. Many of those who joined in do so by offering their skills and talents to the community (committee work, sports coaching, aerobics classes etc.) 41.6% of these teachers 'socialise' ("go to the pub", "play sport with local teams", "go to properties and help mend fences") regularly on weekends in order to prepare them for the following week's activities, but do not engage in these activities during the week. A large number of these teachers feel the need to 'leave town' on a regular basis on weekends (33%). All of those in this category feel the need for personal social gratification with age cohorts or previous acquaintances, despite the pressure to appear to be part of the local community, and despite the negative community connotations that could result by appearing to "leave town on the weekends". 54.2% relied on their ability to maintain a positive attitude. This attitude was based on developing an understanding of the community, appearing interested, making an effort to belong, deciding to enjoy it and accepting the situation without judgement. Others overcame the strains of the situation by keeping busy (29%), by being prepared (25%), or by setting short term, achievable goals (12.5%).

The information contained in Tables 2 and 3 suggests that this sample of teachers perceive the need for teacher preparation programmes to include greater emphasis on the pedagogy of multi-grade classrooms and multi-age group strategies, skills associated with organisation, evaluation and placement, time management and an introduction to the administration of small schools and general administrative issues. Of equal importance is the need for greater community awareness, awareness of anticipated community expectations, the development of an ability to deal with values clashes, greater emphasis upon self knowledge and assessment and a recognition of the role of personal values and their effect on the teaching learning process. Further, these teachers emphasise the importance of analyses of the concepts of isolation, experience in rural communities and general rural familiarity as required topics in the preparation of teachers for rural areas. It is the poor treatment of these issues in teacher preparation programmes that led 75% of the subjects in this study to express dissatisfaction at the level of their preparation for these situations.
Conclusions

National reports and policy statements recognise the uniqueness of Australian rural schools, and the need for specialised training for teachers who will live and work in rural communities. By contrast, the teacher selection practices employed by states, territories and regions in Australia do not appear to differentiate between teachers who may have specialised experience or training for rural schools and other teaching professionals.

Newly appointed rural teachers have indicted that their pre-service preparation was not adequate enough to allow them to deal effectively with the uniqueness of the rural situations in which they found themselves. They have suggested that preparation programmes should have stressed the technical aspects of the teacher's role in these communities in addition to including some level of personal values analysis with a study of techniques of dealing with the community and an understanding of the sociology of isolated rural communities. Their level of comfort and perceived success was also seen to be dependent upon an awareness of value differences and the development of strategies designed to cope with community situations. The responses themselves were of the sort that concentrated upon perceived deficiencies in performance arising from either a lack of understanding of a situation, a lack of familiarity with procedures, a lack of awareness of job expectations and complexity or a lack of knowledge of content or strategy relating to the teaching learning process required in isolated situations.

There appears little doubt that teachers faced with the prospect of living and working in rural contexts perceive a strong need for some form of professional training to improve their chances for successful practice in these unique and demanding educational situations. In describing these perceptions, teachers in rural contexts reinforce both the literature in the field and the plethora of Australian national and state level reports which emphasise the uniqueness of rural schooling and the need for the refinement and sensitising of teaching skills and attitudes designed to complement rural schooling practices. Equally obvious is the dearth of documented policy relating to state and regional selection practices for teachers destined for rural communities. The pervasive attitude in Australian state education department operational policy documents appears to assume no need for specialised training or selection practices for rural, remote or isolated teaching personnel despite a clear recognition of that need by researchers and teachers. Such an attitude appears to be
an artefact of previous, highly centralised systems of education and requires concerted political action to guarantee that state and regional practice is brought into line with national policy statements on equity and social justice concerns for rural schooling.
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